Remarks in Response to Office Action

Applicants note that the claims as originally submitted were erroneously numbered as 1-4

and 6-18 and have apparently been renumbered by the Examiner as claims 1-17. The

amendment above incorporates the corrected numbering.

Claims 1-17 stand rejected for obviousness type double patenting over claims 1-20 of

U.S. Patent No. 6,248,697. Applicants offer to submit a terminal disclaimer to obviate this

rejection when the Examiner indicates that the claims are otherwise in order for allowance.

With respect to the remaining rejections, Applicants respectfully note that the examiner

has not indicated any prior art rejection of claims 5, 7, 16, or 17. Applicants have amended

claims 4 and 8 to incorporate the limitation of claim 5, now cancelled. Certain other amendments

have been made in an attempt to address potential issues under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second

paragraph. No narrowing of the claims is intended by any of the latter amendments. Because

each pending claim now depends, directly or indirectly, from amended claim 4, 8, or 16, it is

respectfully submitted that all claims are now allowable.

The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned attorney at (713) 787-1631 with any

questions, comments or suggestions relating to the referenced patent application.

Respectfully submitted,

Ira D. Finkelstein

Reg. No. 44,680

Attorney for Assignee

KB International, LLC

HOWREY SIMON ARNOLD & WHITE, LLP

750 Bering Drive

Houston, Texas 77057

(713) 787-1400

Date

: Track 31, 2004